CO_2 et Beton: la realité

Karen Scrivener, FREng
EPFL
Switzerland

École
polytechnique
fédérale
de Lausanne

Achieving Worldwide Sustainable Construction

Karen Scrivener

Forecast growth





We need solutions for people in developing countries

Contribution of cement to CO_2 emissions, by country



Although the USA is the third largest consumer of cement, it accounts for < 1.5% of the country's emissions

Large contribution worldwide due to enormous volumes

Cementitious materials make up >50% of everything we produce.

It is only for this reason they account for 8% of CO_2 annually.

Low intrinsic environmental impact



To replace 25% of cementitious with timber would require planting a forest 1,5 x the size of India

Would it help to replace concrete by other materials?



- Röck M, Sørensen A, Tozan B, Steinmann J, Le Den X, Horup L H, Birgisdottir H, Towards EU embodied carbon benchmarks for buildings – Setting the baseline: A bottom-up approach, 2022, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5895051.

Report for European Climate Foundation 2017

Reduce CO_2 from clinker production	Reduce clinker in cement	Reduce cement in concrete	Reduce concrete in building	More efficient (re)use of buildings
• Efficient plants • Waste fuels • Alternate raw materials	• SCMs	• Aggregate grading • Good admixtures • Use filler		RECYCLE!

Substantial reductions in emissions > 80% can be achieved by working through the whole value chain

If only cement level is considered not more than about 50% possible without carbon capture and storage

Carbon Capture and Storage





At the very least it will be expensive
Reducing now will be a very sound investment

Scale of production >>> any “use” scenario
Need to build network to transport to storage sites

Origins of CO_2 emissions in clinker production: CO_2 from the clinker remains around 90% through to the Concrete



1 tonne of clinker leads to the emission of 750 – 900 kg CO_2
Average 850kg/t

$CaCO_3$ ➔ $CaO + CO_2$

Limestone 80% of raw material

The production process is highly optimised up to around 80% of thermodynamic limit.

It is estimated that **< 2%** further savings can be made here

Use of waste fuels, which can be **> 80%** reduces the demand for fossil fuels

Can we make cement with a different chemistry?

What is available on earth?



- Na_2O, K_2O — Too soluble
- Fe_2O_3, MgO — Too insoluble in alkaline solutions
- CaO, SiO_2, Al_2O_3 — The most useful

Hydraulic minerals in system CaO-SiO_2-Al_2O_3



BUT, what sources of minerals are there which contain Al_2O_3 >> SiO_2 ?

Bauxite – localised, under increasing demand for Aluminium production, EXPENSIVE

Even if all current bauxite production diverted would still only replace 10-15% of current demand.

Even after nearly 50 years CSA production in China is <0.1% of OPC
and falling

What does not make sense

- Many roadmaps indicate a significant amount of future CO_2 reduction will come from *"breakthroughs"*
- When we consider cement is a solid material that has to come from the earth, we can see that the idea of future radical breakthroughs borders on magical thinking or alchemy
- People cannot live in nano or virtual houses

- First let's look at a few things, much touted, with no prospect to lower atmospheric CO_2

Biochar

- Use as soil conditioner?
- Use as fuel?
- Use in concrete?

The cement carbon cycle



This is only the "chemical" CO_2 does not include that coming from fuel

The amount of CO_2 reabsorbed here can never exceed the amount on the left

Almost all calcium in earth's crust is in the form of calcium carbonate

There is some in sea water but very dilute so would have to handle huge volumes of water to get significant amounts out

The most common fallacy:

- So of course calcium oxide, hydroxide etc can (and do) react with atmospheric CO_2, but these would have to come from ***uncarbonated*** sources of CO_2 to have any net benefit

- Microorganisms (algae, bacteria, etc) ***can*** form calcium carbonate from atmospheric CO_2, but they need a source of calcium.
  Again only if this was originally uncarbonated does it have any net benefit

- Any ***uncarbonated*** sources of calcium can already be simply exploited to produce conventional clinker.

Portland based cements will continue to dominate

Blended cements are the most realistic option to reduce CO_2 and extend resources

Most promising approach – reducing the clinker factor




Limestone


Fly ash


Slag


Calcined clays


Burnt Oil Shale


Recycled concrete fines

Often by-products or wastes from other industries

Availability of SCMs



There is no magic solution

- **Blended with SCMs will be best solution for sustainable cements for foreseeable future**
- **Only material really potentially available in viable quantities is calcined clay.**
- **Synergetic reaction** of calcined clay and limestone allows high levels of substitution:
  EPFL led LC^3 project supported by SDC. **Started 2013**

Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft
Confédération suisse
Confederazione Svizzera
Confederaziun svizra

Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation SDC

Limestone Calcined Clay Cement LC^3

What is LC^3



LC^3 is a family of cements, the figure refers to the **clinker** content



- 50% less clinker
- 40% less CO_2
- Similar strength
- Better chloride resistance
- Resistant to alkali silica reaction

Comparison of calcined kaolinitic clay, slag and fly ash



Binary systems 70% clinker, 30% SCM
Ternary systems, with limestone 50% clinker, 30% SCM, 15% limestone

Three basic clay structures

Kaolinite (1:1)



aluminium

silicon

Montmorillonite (2:1)
(Smectites)



Illite (Micas)
(2:1)



"Metakaolin", sold as high purity product for paper, ceramic, refractory industries
Requirements for purity, colour, etc, mean expensive 3-4x price cement

Clays containing metakaolin available as wastes
– over or under burden NOT agricultural soil
Much much less expensive often available close to cement plants

Over 70 clays studied from around the world

Different calcination conditions
Different compositions, impurities
Different physical properties





Benchmark test of clay strength

- Compressive strength EN 196-1 at 1, 3, 7, 28, 90 d
- Linear increase of strength with the MK content of calcined clays
- Similar strength to PC for blends containing 40% of calcined kaolinite from 7d onwards
- At 28 and 90 days, little additional benefit >60%
- Minor impacts of fineness, specific surface and secondary phases



Calcined kaolinite content overwhelming parameter

Distribution of Kaolinitic clays

Ito and Wagai, Scientific data 2017



Is there clay in Switzerland?



Calcination of clay

Can be achieved with existing technology:
Rotary kilns (even clinker kilns)
Flash Calciners
CO_2 emission as low as **90** kg /tonne
Possible to electrify

Demonstration structure, India

Around 14 tonnes of CO_2 saved
Compared to existing solutions

New Calcination plant Ivory Coast

Colour control at Ivory Coast plant

Calcined Clay only SCM which can expand substitution



> 800 million Tonnes CO2/yr

> 400 million Tonnes CO2/yr

Substantial reductions in emissions ~80% could be achieved by working through the whole value chain

Few producers Quick wins implemented	Few producers Large remaining potential	Many producers Implementation very difficult	Chain of deciders Implementation very difficult	Big changes in mindset needed
Reduce CO_2 from clinker production	Reduce clinker in cement	Reduce cement in concrete	Reduce concrete in building	More efficient (re)use of buildings
• Efficient plants • Waste fuels • Alternate raw materials	• SCMs	• Aggregate grading • Good admixtures • Use filler		

Efficiency of binder use (29 countries)



DAMINELI, et al. Measuring the eco-efficiency of cement use. **Cement and Concrete Composites**, 32, p. 555-562, 2010

Concluding remarks

- **Substantial reductions in CO2 possible**
  - **At cement level by increasing SCM substitution**
  - **At concrete level by minimising cement content**
  - **At structure level ?**
- **All of the above will also lower cost**
- **Remainder CO_2 can only be dealt with by carbon capture and storage high cost, infrastructure not in place.**
- **Calcined clays are the only realistic option for extending the use SCMs**
- **Can be done FAST and at SCALE**

The GLO*BE* Consensus: A paradigm shift

Unprecedented, more holistic approaches based on scientific background





http://globe.rilem.net

Thank You

Karen Scrivener

École
polytechnique
fédérale
de Lausanne

Lower cost: Cementis study





Report available: https://lc3.ch/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/2019-LC3FinancialAttractiveness-WEB.pdf